

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 8815–8824

# Palladium-catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation using 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid: construction of vicinal quaternary and tertiary carbon centers

Motoi Kawatsura,<sup>a,\*</sup> Daiji Ikeda,<sup>b</sup> Yuji Komatsu,<sup>a</sup> Kana Mitani,<sup>b</sup> Takeshi Tanaka<sup>b</sup> and Junichi Uenishi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Materials Science, Faculty of Engineering, Tottori University, Koyama, Tottori 680-8552, Japan<br><sup>b</sup>Kyoto Pharmaceutical University Misasagi Yamashina, Kyoto 607,8412, Japan <sup>b</sup>Kyoto Pharmaceutical University, Misasagi, Yamashina, Kyoto 607-8412, Japan

> Received 11 April 2007; revised 8 June 2007; accepted 9 June 2007 Available online 15 June 2007

Abstract—The palladium-catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of allyl acetates with carbon nucleophiles occurred. The stereochemistry was highly controlled by the palladium catalyst with 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid as the ligand, and vicinal quaternary and tertiary carbon centers were constructed.  $© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$ 

1. Introduction

The transition metal-catalyzed allylic substitution reaction is a useful reaction in organic synthesis.<sup>[1](#page-9-0)</sup> Especially, the palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation is one of the most widely and frequently used carbon–carbon bond forming reactions.[2](#page-9-0) On the other hand, the construction of a chiral quaternary carbon center catalyzed by a transition metal catalyst is also one of the most challenging topics in organic synthesis.<sup>[3](#page-9-0)</sup> The carbon nucleophiles successfully used for the asymmetric alkylation have been limited to enolate anions generated from b-dicarbonyl compounds represented by malonate esters, and there have been few investigations into the construction of chiral quaternary carbon centers using this reaction.<sup>[4](#page-9-0)</sup> The use of  $\alpha$ -substituted unsymmetrical

 $\beta$ -diketones,  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -ketoesters and  $\alpha$ -substituted aminoester derivatives for the reaction with unsymmetrical allylic esters generally gives a mixture of regio- and stereoisomers with poor selectivity. However, if a chiral allylic acetate is employed,<sup>[5](#page-9-0)</sup> the remaining problems for this reaction would be condensed to the control of the regio- and diastereo-selectivities, because the allylic alkylation reaction stereospecifically proceeds with a net retention of the stereochemistry (Fig. 1). Previously, we reported the regioand diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of (R)-2-acetoxy-4-phenyl-3-butene with ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate by a palladium catalyst with 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid as the ligand,<sup>[6](#page-9-0)</sup> and demonstrated the short synthesis of  $(-)$ -acetomycin.<sup>7,8</sup> In this paper, we report several examples of the palladium-catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective



Figure 1. Palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -ketoesters with chiral allyl acetate.

Keywords: Palladium; Catalyst; Diastereoselectivity; Regioselectivity; Quaternary carbon center.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +81 857 31 5179; e-mail: [kawatsur@chem.tottori-u.ac.jp](mailto:kawatsur@chem.tottori-u.ac.jp)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>allylic alkylation of allyl acetates with several carbon nucleophiles.



Scheme 1.

#### 2. Results and discussion

#### 2.1. Optimization of palladium catalyst

We chose  $(R)$ -2-acetoxy-4-phenyl-3-butene  $((R)$ -1a)<sup>[9](#page-9-0)</sup> as the chiral allyl acetate, and ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate (2) was selected as the carbon nucleophile for the standard reaction (Scheme 1). The palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation easily proceeds using  $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ ,  $Pd(OAc)_2/PPh_3$  or  $Pd(OAc)_2/$ DPPE in good to high yields, and an alkylated product 3a was obtained as the major product with a high regioselectivity. However, the diastereoselectivity was very low and approximately a 1:1 mixture was obtained (entries 1–3 in Table 1). This poor diastereoselectivity was dramatically improved using a o-(diphenylphosphino)arylcarboxylic acid (Fig. 2) as the ligand for palladium. When 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid (L1) was employed as the ligand, the diastereoselectivity was significantly increased to 94:6 with perfect regioselectivity, and  $(S)$ -3a was obtained in a 99% yield (entry 4). As we previously reported, the assignment of the diastereochemistry and absolute stereochemistry was confirmed after converting the predominant  $(R)$ -diastereomer to  $(-)$ -acetomycin.<sup>[6](#page-9-0)</sup> On the other hand, 3- or 4-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid (L2 and L3) did not show any diastereoselectivity (entries 5 and 6). These results

Table 1. Palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation of (R)-2-acetoxy-4-phenyl-3-butene ( $(R)$ -1a) with ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate  $2^a$ 

| Entry [Pd]     |                                    |                  | Ligand Conversion <sup>b</sup> (%) $3a:4a^b$ (S)-3a:(R)-3a <sup>b</sup> |       |       |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1              | Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> |                  | 97                                                                      | 99:1  | 55:45 |
| 2              | Pd(OAc)                            | PPh <sub>3</sub> | 73                                                                      | 99:1  | 55:45 |
| 3              | $Pd(OAc)_{2}$                      | <b>DPPE</b>      | 98                                                                      | 95:5  | 51:49 |
| $\overline{4}$ | $Pd(OAc)_{2}$                      | L1               | 99 <sup>c</sup>                                                         | 99:1  | 94:6  |
| 5              | Pd(OAc)                            | L2               | 70                                                                      | 84:16 | 53:47 |
| 6              | $Pd(OAc)_{2}$                      | L3               | 99                                                                      | 95:5  | 51:49 |
| 7              | $Pd(OAc)_{2}$                      | L4               | 99                                                                      | 99:1  | 94:6  |
| 8              | $Pd(OAc)_{2}$                      | L5               | 99                                                                      | 99:1  | 94:6  |
| 9              | $Pd(OAc)_{2}$                      | L6               | 34                                                                      | 99:1  | 48:52 |
| 10             | $[PdCl(C3H5)]2$ L1                 |                  | 93                                                                      | 99:1  | 89:11 |
| 11             | $Pd_2(dba)$                        | L1               | 95                                                                      | 99:1  | 90:10 |

Reaction conditions:  $(R)$ -1a (1.0 mmol), 2 (1.5 mmol), [Pd] (0.05 mmol) for Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, 0.025 mmol for  $[PdCl(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)]<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub>), ligand (0.1 mmol for DPPE and **L1–6**, entries  $3-11$ , 0.15 mmol for PPh<sub>3</sub>), NaHMDS (1.4 mmol), dioxane (7.6 mL),  $0^{\circ}$ C to rt. 12 h.

rt, 12 h.<br>b Determined by 400 MHz  $^1$  $\degree$  Determined by 400 MHz  $\degree$  H NMR spectrum of the crude materials.  $\degree$  Isolated yield by silica gel column chromatography was 93%.



Figure 2. Structure of  $o$ -(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid (L1) and its analogues (L2–6).

indicate that L1 is the best ligand for this regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of  $(R)$ -1a with 2. We also examined this reaction using  $o$ -(diphenylphosphino)naphthoic acid ligands  $(L4–6),<sup>10</sup>$  $(L4–6),<sup>10</sup>$  $(L4–6),<sup>10</sup>$  and confirmed that both  $L4$ and L5 exhibit an excellent regioselectivity over 99:1 and diastereoselectivity of 94:6 (entries 7 and 8). However, when 1-(diphenylphosphino)-2-naphthoic acid L6 was used, it only produced a 34% yield of 3a as a 1:1 mixture of the diastereoisomers (entry 9). Furthermore, we examined the other palladium precursors such as  $[PdCl(\pi$ -allyl)]<sub>2</sub> and  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub>, but the diastereoselectivity was lower than that of the reaction with  $Pd(OAc)_2$  (entries 10 and 11). Overall, we determined in the combination of  $Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$  with L1 to be the best catalyst for the regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of the chiral allyl acetate  $(R)$ -1a with 2.

#### 2.2. Palladium-catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of several allyl acetates

The regio- and diastereo-selectivities were easily checked by the reaction using racemic allyl acetates, if the optically active alkylated product was not needed, and results are summarized in Table 2. Typically, the reaction was carried out as follows: in the presence of 5 mol % of the palladium catalyst generated in situ by mixing  $5 \text{ mol\% Pd(OAc)}_2$  with 10 mol % 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid  $(L1)$ , the racemic allyl acetates 1a–l were allowed to react with the sodium salt of ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate (2) in dioxane at  $0^{\circ}$ C to room temperature for 12 h ([Scheme 2\)](#page-2-0). Most of the allyl acetates exhibited a high regioselectivity and

Table 2. Pd/L1 catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of allyl acetates  $1a-1$  with ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate  $(2)^{3}$ 

| Entry          | 1  | Yield $\mathfrak{b}$ (%) | $3:4^{\circ}$ | $(S^*)$ -3: $(R^*)$ -3 <sup>c</sup> |
|----------------|----|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1              | 1a | 93 $(3a+4a)$             | 99:1          | 94:6                                |
| $\overline{c}$ | 1b | $92(3b+4b)$              | 99:1          | 95:5                                |
| 3              | 1c | $81(3c+4c)$              | 97:3          | 96:4                                |
| $\overline{4}$ | 1d | 41 $(3d+4d)$             | 99:1          | 95:5                                |
| 5              | 1e | $81(3e+4e)$              | 99:1          | 62:38                               |
| 6              | 1f | $79(3f+4f)$              | 99:1          | 97:3                                |
| 7              | 1g | $85(3g+4g)$              | 99:1          | 93:7                                |
| 8              | 1h | $88(3h+4h)$              | 99:1          | 92:8                                |
| 9              | 1i | $78(3i+4i)$              | 99:1          | 95:5                                |
| 10             | 1j | $94(3j+4j)$              | 99:1          | 93:7                                |
| 11             | 1k | $72(3k+4k)$              | 63:37         | 86:14                               |
| 12             | 11 | $61(3I+4I)$              | 99:1          | 91:9                                |

Reaction conditions: allyl acetate 1a–l (1.0 mmol), 2 (1.5 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.05 mmol), **L1** (0.1 mmol), NaHMDS (1.4 mmol), dioxane (7.6 mL),  $0^{\circ}$ C to rt, 12 h.

(7.6 mL), 0 °C to rt, 12 h. .<br>
<sup>b</sup> Isolated yield by silica gel column chromatography.<br>
<sup>c</sup> Determined by 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude materials.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>diastereoselectivity. For example, the reaction of the allyl acetate 1b ( $Ar=4-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$ ) proceeded with a 99% regioselectivity and 95% diastereoselectivity (entry 2). Similarly, the allyl acetate 1c ( $Ar=4-CF_3C_6H_4$ ) gave 3c with a 96% diastereoselectivity even though the regioselecitivity slightly decreased to 97% (entry 3). On the other hand, the stereoselectivity was affected by an ortho substituent on the phenyl group. The reaction of allyl acetate  $1d$  (Ar=2- $MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$ ) resulted in a low yield (entry 4), and a decreased diastereoselectivity (62%) was observed for the reaction of 1e  $(Ar=2-CF_3C_6H_4)$  (entry 5). However, the allyl acetate **1f** (Ar=3,4,5-(MeO)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) exhibited the highest diastereoselectivity with a perfect regioselectivity (entry 6). Both the 2- and 1-naphthyl group substituted allyl acetates (1g and 1h) gave alkylated products 3g and 3h with a good diastereoselectivity and 99% regioselecitivity (entries 7 and 8). Furthermore, we found that other aromatic groups such as the pentafluorophenyl group or 2-pyridyl group (1i or 1j) also exhibited good stereoselectivities (entries 9 and 10). However, we confirmed that the allyl acetate 1k, which has an ethyl group on the allyl terminus instead of a methyl group, decreased both the regio- and diastereo-selectivities (entry 11). This result suggests that the steric difference between the methyl or ethyl group and phenyl group is an important factor for the high stereoselectivities. The more substituted allyl acetate 1l again showed a high regioselectivity and diastereoselectivity even though the isolated yield was slightly decreased (entry 12). Overall, the palladium catalyst, which was generated from  $Pd(OAc)_2$  and L1, is an efficient catalyst for the regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of a wide range of allyl acetates with 2.





#### 2.3. Palladium-catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation with several  $\beta$ -ketoesters and other carbon nucleophiles

We next examined the reaction of the racemic 2-acetoxy-4 phenyl-3-butene (1a) with several carbon nucleophiles using the Pd/L1 catalyst (Schemes 3 and 4), and the results are





Scheme 4.

shown in [Table 3.](#page-3-0) Most of the nucleophiles exhibited an excellent regioselectivity (over 94%), but obviously the diastereoselectivity depends upon the nucleophile, which was used. Especially, a substituent at the  $\alpha$  position of the B-ketoesters is an important to attain the high diastereoselectivity. For example, the diastereoselectivity for the reaction of 2 was 94% (entry 4 in [Table 1](#page-1-0)), but the reaction of ethyl acetoacetate (2b) gave 5b with only a 58% diastereoselectivity (entry 1 in [Table 3](#page-3-0)). Therefore, a substitution group at the  $\alpha$ position on the  $\beta$ -ketoesters is required for a good diastereoselectivity in this palladium-catalyzed reaction. Actually, the  $\alpha$ -fluoro or  $\alpha$ -benzyl substituted ethyl acetoacetate (2c and 2d) proceeded with 78% and 81% diastereoselectivities, respectively (entries 2 and 3). Ethyl 2-methyl-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate (2e) also exhibited a good stereoselectivity (entry 4). Excellent regio- and diastereo-selectivities are observed for the reaction with cyclic  $\beta$ -ketoesters (2f–h). The reaction of 1a with ethyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate (2f) proceeded regio- and diastereoselectively to give 5f with a 96% diastereoselectivity (entry 5). Similarly, ethyl 2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate (2g) and methyl 2-oxo-1-cycloheptanecarboxylate (2h) produced the alkylated products 5g and 5h with 96% and 94% diastereoselectivities, respectively (entries 6 and 7). The reaction of cyanoesters was also examined. The reaction of ethyl cyanoacetate (7a) produced a product 8a without any diastereoselectivity (52%), but again the methyl group substituted cyanoester 7b increased the diastereoselectivity up to 84% (entries 8 and 9). Unfortunately, the reaction of ethyl phenylacetates (7c) proceeded without any diastereoselectivity (54%) in a low yield (entry 10), and the methyl group substituted phenyl acetate 7d did not produce any alkylation product (entry 11). Furthermore, we tried the reaction of two 2-pyridyl acetates (7e and 7f), but both nucleophiles gave 8e and 8f with 53% and 60% diastereoselectivities, respectively (entries 12 and 13). These

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 3. Pd/L1 catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of  $(R)$ -2-acetoxy-4-phenyl-3-butene (1a) with carbon nucleophiles 2b–h and  $7a-f<sup>a</sup>$ 



(continued)





<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol), **2b–h** and **7a–f** (1.5 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.05 mmol), **L1** (0.1 mmol), NaHMDS (1.4 mmol), dioxane (7.6 mL),  $0 °C$  to rt 12 h

 $\frac{1}{2}$  isolated yield by silica gel column chromatography.<br>C Determined by 400 MHz  $\frac{1}{2}$  H NMR spectrum of the crude materials.

The exact relative stereochemistry of  $5b-e$  and  $8a-f$  had not been determined.

results suggest that the palladium catalyst, which was generated from  $Pd(OAc)_2$  and L1, is highly effective for the regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of 1a with  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -ketoesters and cyanoesters. However, the catalyst is not effective for the reaction with other carbon nucleophiles such as 7c–f.

#### 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we demonstrated the palladium-catalyzed regio- and diastereo-selective allylic alkylation of several allyl acetates with several carbon nucleophiles. We developed a palladium catalyst that coordinated with 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid and highly controlled both the regio- and diastereo-selecitivies for the reaction with  $\alpha$ -substituted b-ketoesters, and we succeeded in constructing vicinal quaternary and tertiary carbon centers. Unfortunately, the mechanistic details, especially the role of the carboxylic group in generating the  $\pi$ -allylpalladium intermediate, is still unclear, and will be the subject of a future study.

#### 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1. General methods

All manipulations were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. Nitrogen gas was dried by passage through  $P_2O_5$ . NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM MH400 spectrometer (400 MHz for  ${}^{1}$ H and 125 MHz for  ${}^{13}$ C). Chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  parts per million referenced to an internal SiMe<sub>4</sub> standard for <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Residual chloroform ( $\delta$ 77.0 for  $^{13}$ C) was used as internal reference for  $^{13}$ C NMR. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C unless otherwise noted.  $(R)$ -2-Acetoxy-4-phenyl-3-butene (1a) [9](#page-9-0) was prepared according to the reported procedure, and o-(diphenylphosphino)arylcarboxylic acid L4–6 were prepared according to the reported procedure.<sup>[10](#page-9-0)</sup>

# 4.2. General procedure for the allylic alkylation of  $(R)$ -2acetoxy-4-phenyl-3-butene (1a) with ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate (2)

Typical procedure was given for the reaction by  $Pd(OAc)_{2}/$ L1 (entry 1 in [Table 2](#page-1-0)). To a solution of  $Pd(OAc)_2$ (11.2 mg, 0.05 mmol), 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid (L1) (30.6 mg, 0.10 mmol) in dioxane (7.6 mL) was added  $(R)$ -2-acetoxy-4-phenyl-3-butene  $(1a)$  (190 mg, 1.0 mmol), ethyl 2-methylacetoacetate (2) (216 mg, 1.5 mmol). The solution was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C, then NaHMDS (1.4 mL, 1.0 M in THF) added slowly. The resultant mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water, and extracted with ether. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, and evaporated. The regio and diastereo ratios of product were determined by 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR for crude material. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane=1:9) to give 255 mg  $(93\%)$  of 3a.

4.2.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S,3R)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S,3R)$ -3a}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.35–7.20 (m, 5H), 6.43 (d, J=15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (dd,  $J=15.8$ , 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (q,  $J=7.1$  Hz, 2H), 3.23 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t,  $J=7.1$  Hz, 3H), 1.13 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d: 204.9, 172.0, 137.2, 131.4, 130.3, 128.5, 127.4, 126.3, 63.5, 61.3, 41.1, 26.9, 16.4, 16.0, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 274. EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 274.1569 (calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 274.1567). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.55 (10% EtOAc in hexane). [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>25</sup> 59.8  $(c 1.03, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)$ .

4.2.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R,3R)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R,3R)$ -3a}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$ : 7.43–7.19 (m, 5H), 6.42 (d,  $J=15.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dd,  $J=15.8$ , 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.07 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 205.0, 171.9, 137.3, 131.3, 130.7, 128.5, 127.3, 126.2, 63.7, 61.7, 41.0, 26.8, 15.7, 15.2, 14.1.  $R_f = 0.55$  (10% EtOAc in hexane).

#### 4.2.3. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)- 4-pentenoate (3b).

4.2.3.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3b}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.25 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d,  $J=8.8$  Hz, 2H), 6.37 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.90 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.21 (m, 1H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$ : 205.0, 172.0, 159.0, 130.7, 129.9, 127.9, 127.3, 113.9, 63.5, 61.2, 55.2, 41.0, 26.9, 16.5, 15.8, 14.1. Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.50 (10% EtOAc in hexane). EIMS m/z: 304, EI-HRMS m/z: 304.1678 (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}O_4$ : 304.1674).

4.2.3.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3b}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.25 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d,  $J=8.8$  Hz, 2H), 6.37 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (q,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.22 (t,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H), 1.05 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 208.1, 174.9, 162.5, 130.6, 130.1, 128.4, 127.6, 114.1, 63.7, 61.8, 53.6, 38.6, 26.8, 15.7, 15.1, 12.7.  $R_f$ =0.55 (15% EtOAc in hexane).

#### 4.2.4. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-pentenoate (3c).

4.2.4.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3c}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.53 (d,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.46 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.19 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (q,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 3.25 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 204.8, 171.8, 140.6, 133.3, 130.1, 126.4, 125.4 (2C), 63.3, 62.7, 61.4, 41.0, 26.9, 16.2, 16.1, 14.1. Colorless oil. EIMS m/z: 342, EI-HRMS m/z: 342.1444 (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{21}F_3O_3$ : 342.1443).  $R_f=0.48$ (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.4.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3c}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.52 (d,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.46 (d,  $J=16.1$  Hz, 1H),  $6.28$  (dd,  $J=16.1$ ,  $8.3$  Hz, 1H),  $4.16$  (g,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.25 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H), 1.09 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d: 204.9, 171.7, 140.5, 133.5, 130.0, 126.5, 125.6 (2C), 63.1, 61.6, 41.2, 27.2, 16.3, 16.0, 14.2.  $R_f$ =0.55 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.5. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)- 4-pentenoate (3d).

4.2.5.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4-pentenoate: (2S\*,3R\*)-3d}. <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.38–6.83 (m, 4H), 6.76  $(d, J=16.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.02 \text{ (dd, } J=16.1, 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.22$  $(q, J=7.3 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 3.82 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 3.25 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.18 \text{ (s,$ 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 205.1, 172.1, 156.5, 130.6, 128.4, 126.6, 126.2, 126.1, 120.6, 110.8, 63.7, 61.3, 55.5, 41.5, 26.9, 16.6, 15.9, 14.1. Colorless oil. EIMS m/z: 304, EI-HRMS m/z: 304.1681 (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}O_4$ : 304.1674).  $R_f$ =0.50 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.5.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3d}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.37–6.81 (m, 4H), 6.76  $(d, J=16.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.02 \text{ (dd, } J=16.1, 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.15$  $(q, J=7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 3.79 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 3.25 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.18 \text{ (s,$ 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.24 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d,  $J=6.6$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 205.2, 172.2, 156.2, 130.5, 128.8, 126.7, 126.1, 126.0, 120.5, 110.6, 63.9, 61.3, 55.4, 41.6, 26.7, 16.7, 15.7, 14.3.  $R_f$ =0.50 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.6. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(2-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-pentenoate (3e).

4.2.6.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-5-(2-trifluoromethylphenyl)-2,3-dimethyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3e}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.61–7.28  $(m, 4H), 6.81 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (dd, J=15.7,$ 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 2.18 (s,

3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.29 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.16 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 204.9, 171.8, 136.4, 134.8, 131.9, 127.7, 127.4, 127.1, 125.6, 124.4, 123.0, 63.4, 61.5, 41.1, 26.7, 16.2, 15.3, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 342, EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 304.1447 (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{21}F_3O_3$ : 304.1443). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.49 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.6.2. Minor isomer  $\{\text{ethyl} \ (2R^*, 3R^*)$ -2-acetyl-5-(2-trifluoromethylphenyl)-2,3-dimethyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3e}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.61–7.28 (m, 4H), 6.81 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dd, J=15.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.24 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 204.9, 171.9, 136.5, 135.3, 131.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.1, 125.7, 123.0, 63.5, 61.5, 41.1, 26.8, 15.8, 15.5, 14.1.  $R_f=0.49$ (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.7. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-4-pentenoate (3f).

4.2.7.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3f}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta: 7.27$  (s, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 6.36(d, J=16.1, Hz, 1H), 5.96 (dd, J=16.1, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (q,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.22 (dq, J=8.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.29 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.13 (d J=6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 205.0, 172.0, 153.3, 138.3, 132.8, 131.4, 129.7, 103.4, 63.5, 61.4, 60.9, 56.1, 41.0, 27.0, 16.5, 16.0, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 364, EI-HRMS m/z: 364.1891 (calcd for  $C_{20}H_{28}O_6$ : 364.1886). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.41 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.7.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3f}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 6.36 (d,  $J=16.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.06 (dd,  $J=16.1$ , 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (q, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.22 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 206.2, 172.1, 153.4, 138.5, 132.9, 131.6, 129.8, 103.5, 63.7, 61.7, 63.9, 61.3, 60.7, 55.9, 41.2, 27.5, 16.6, 15.8, 14.5.  $R_f=0.41$  (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.8. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(2-naphthyl)-4 pentenoate (3g).

4.2.8.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-(2-naphthyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3g}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.79–7.25 (m, 7H), 6.59  $(d, J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.19 \text{ (dd, } J=15.7, 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.23$  $(q, J=7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 3.29 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.18 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.38 \text{ (s,$ 3H), 1.28 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.17 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 205.0, 171.9, 134.5, 133.5, 132.8, 131.5, 130.7, 128.1, 127.8, 127.6, 126.2, 125.9, 125.7, 123.5, 63.5, 61.3, 41.2, 26.9, 16.4, 16.0, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 324, EI-HRMS m/z: 324.1719 (calcd for  $C_{21}H_{24}O_3$ : 324.1725). Colorless oil.  $R_f = 0.56$  (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.8.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-(2-naphthyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3g}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.79–7.25 (m, 7H), 6.59 (d, J=15.7, 1H), 6.29 (dd, J=15.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 204.9, 171.8, 134.6, 133.6, 132.9, 131.5, 130.8, 128.5, 127.9, 127.5, 126.1, 125.8, 125.6, 123.4, 63.4, 61.1, 41.3, 26.7, 16.5, 15.9, 13.9.  $R_f$ =0.56 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.9. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(1-naphthyl)-4 pentenoate (3h).

4.2.9.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-(1-naphthyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3h}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.06–7.23 (m, 7H), 7.18  $(d, J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.06 (dd, J=15.7, 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.23$  $(q, J=7.0 \text{ H}, 2\text{H}), 3.38 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.21 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.40 \text{ (s, 3H)},$ 1.28 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 204.9, 171.9, 135.0, 133.5, 133.4, 131.1, 128.8, 128.5, 127.7, 126.0, 125.7, 125.6, 123.9, 123.7, 63.5, 61.3, 41.3, 27.0, 16.5, 15.9, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 324, EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 324.1728 (calcd for  $C_{21}H_{24}O_3$ : 324.1725). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.58 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.9.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-(1-naphthyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3h}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.06–7.23 (m, 7H), 7.18  $(d, J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.15 (dd, J=15.7, 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.15$  $(q, J=7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 3.38 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.22 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.39 \text{ (s,$ 3H), 1.28 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 204.8, 171.8, 135.1, 134.0, 133.9, 131.5, 128.9, 128.6, 128.1, 126.1, 125.3, 125.2, 123.8, 123.5, 63.7, 61.2, 41.2, 26.8, 16.4, 15.7, 15.1.  $R_f$ =0.58 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.10. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-pentafluorophenyl-4-pentenoate (3i).

4.2.10.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-pentafluorophenyl-4-pentenoate: (2S\*,3R\*)-3i}. <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 6.41 (dd, J=16.6, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (d,  $J=16.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.23 (q,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H),  $\overline{1.29}$  (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.13 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d: 204.6, 171.6, 145.8, 143.4, 140.9, 140.5, 138.9, 136.4, 115.6, 63.2, 61.6, 42.2, 26.9, 16.3, 16.0, 15.3, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 364, EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 364.1105 (calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 364.1098). Colorless oil.  $R_f=0.32$  (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.10.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-pentafluorophenyl-4-pentenoate: (2R\*,3R\*)- 3i}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 6.49 (dd, J=16.6, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (d,  $J=16.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.07 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 204.3, 171.6, 145.7, 143.5, 140.7, 140.6, 138.7, 136.5, 115.4, 61.7, 63.0, 61.5, 42.3, 26.8, 16.0, 15.1, 14.0.  $R_f$ =0.32 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.11. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-(2-pyridyl)-4 pentenoate (3j).

4.2.11.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-(2-pyridyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3j}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.53–8.51 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.26 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.09 (m, 1H), 6.69– 6.49 (m, 2H), 4.22 (q, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.37–3.26 (m, 1H),

2.18 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 204.8, 171.8, 155.4, 149.4, 136.4, 134.8, 131.6, 122.0, 121.1, 63.4, 61.4, 40.6, 26.8, 16.1, 15.7, 14.0. EIMS 275, EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 275.1519 (calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: 275.1521). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.29 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.11.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-(2-pyridyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3j}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$ : 8.53–8.51 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.54 (m, 1H),  $8.53-8.51$  (m, 1H),  $7.26$  (d,  $J=8.2$  Hz, 1H),  $7.12-$ 7.09 (m, 1H),  $6.69-6.49$  (m, 2H),  $4.16$  (q,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 3.37–3.26 (m, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.09 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 204.9, 171.8, 155.4, 149.4, 136.6, 135.3, 131.4, 122.0, 121.1, 62.2, 61.4, 40.6, 26.7, 15.9, 15.4, 15.0.  $R_f$ =0.29 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

#### 4.2.12. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2-ethyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4 pentenoate (3k).

4.2.12.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 ethyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S*, 3R*)$ -3k}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$ : 7.35–6.99 (m, 5H), 6.33 (d,  $J=16.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.92 (dd,  $J=16.1$ , 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.93– 3.84 (m, 2H), 2.91 (m, 1H), 1.92 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.30–1.19 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 204.9, 172.1, 137.0, 133.5, 129.5, 128.5, 127.4, 126.3, 61.3, 53.0, 49.5, 26.8, 23.8, 16.3, 14.1, 12.6. EIMS m/z: 288, EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 288.1722 (calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 288.1725). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.50 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.12.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 ethyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -3k}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$ : 7.35–6.99 (m, 5H), 6.41 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.93–3.84 (m, 2H), 3.00–2.94 (m, 2H), 1.93 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.30–1.19 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 204.8, 171.8, 137.1, 133.6, 129.6, 128.6, 127.5, 126.5, 61.2, 53.1, 49.5, 27.0, 23.1, 16.3, 14.0, 12.5.  $R_f$ =0.50 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.12.3. Regioisomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 methyl-3-phenyl-4-heptenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -4k}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6)$   $\delta$ : 7.34–7.24 (m, 5H), 5.85 (dd, J=15.1, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (dt,  $J=15.1$ , 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d,  $J=9.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.76–3.68 (m, 2H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.76–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 0.83 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 0.72 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H).

4.2.12.4. Regioisomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 methyl-3-phenyl-4-heptenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -4k}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6)$   $\delta$ : 7.34–7.24 (m, 5H), 6.10 (dd, J=15.1, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.57–5.45 (m, 1H), 4.31 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.76–3.68 (m, 2H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H),  $1.76-1.61$  (m, 2H), 0.80 (t,  $J=7.8$  Hz, 3H), 0.80 (t,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H).

### 4.2.13. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2,3,4-trimethyl-5-phenyl-4 pentenoate (3l).

4.2.13.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2,3,4-trimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3l}.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.33–7.17 (m, 5H), 6.38 (s, 1H), 4.23 (m, 2H), 3.26 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.30 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.23 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 205.1, 172.8, 138.9, 137.7, 129.0, 128.8, 128.0, 126.3, 62.3, 61.3, 47.4, 26.9, 18.1, 15.8, 15.3, 14.0. EIMS m/z: 288, EI-HRMS m/z: 288.1723 (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}O_3$ : 288.1725). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.57 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.13.2. Minor isomer  $\{\text{ethyl} \ (2R^*, 3R^*)$ -2-acetyl-2,3,4-trimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*$ ,3 $R^*$ )-3l}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.33–7.17 (m, 5H), 6.38 (s, 1H), 4.17–4.01 (m, 2H), 3.37 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.20 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d: 204.9, 172.0, 139.3, 137.8, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 126.2, 62.3, 61.2, 45.8, 26.8, 18.0, 16.0, 15.5, 14.1.  $R_f=0.57$ (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.14. Ethyl 2-acetyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate  $(5b).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.14.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-3 methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -5b}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$ : 7.34–7.19 (m, 5H), 6.44 (d,  $J=15.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.10 (dd,  $J=15.7$ , 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.46 (d,  $J=9.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.17 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 202.5, 168.6, 136.9, 131.3, 130.7, 128.5, 127.4, 126.2, 66.1, 61.3, 37.5, 29.5, 18.6, 14.2. EIMS m/z: 260, EI-HRMS m/z: 260.1410 (calcd for  $C_{16}H_{20}O_3$ : 260.1412). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.20 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.14.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-3 methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -5b}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.34–7.19 (m, 5H), 6.44 (d,  $J=15.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.06 (dd,  $J=15.7$ , 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.44 (d,  $J=9.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.13 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 202.4, 168.6, 137.1, 131.2, 130.8, 128.5, 127.5, 126.2, 66.2, 61.4, 37.5, 29.6, 18.6, 14.2.  $R_f$ =0.20 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

#### 4.2.15. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2-fluoro-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate  $(5c).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.15.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 fluoro-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -5c}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.34–7.21 (m, 5H), 6.47  $(d, J=16.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.04 (dd, J=16.0, 9.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.38–$ 4.24 (m, 2H), 3.51–3.32 (m, 1H), 2.23 (d,  $J=5.5$  Hz, 3H), 1.33 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDC1}_3)$   $\delta$ : 202.3, 201.9, 165.6, 165.3, 136.5, 133.5, 128.5, 126.3, 62.6, 42.6, 42.3, 26.8, 14.7, 14.0. EIMS m/z: 278, EI-HRMS m/z: 278.1316 (calcd for  $C_{16}H_{19}FO_3$ : 278.1318). Colorless oil.  $R_f=0.41$  (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.15.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 fluoro-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -5c}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.34–7.21 (m, 5H), 6.50  $(d, J=15.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.07 (dd, J=15.8, 9.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.23–$ 4.12 (m, 2H), 3.51-3.32 (m, 1H), 2.31 (d,  $J=5.1$  Hz, 3H), 1.21 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR

(75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 202.3, 201.8, 165.6, 165.3, 136.5, 132.9, 128.3, 126.9, 62.5, 42.5, 42.3, 26.7, 14.4, 14.1.  $R_f$ =0.41 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.16. Ethyl 2-acetyl-2-benzyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4 pentenoate  $(5d)$ .<sup>11</sup>

4.2.16.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 benzyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -5d}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.37–7.12 (m, 10H), 6.45 (d,  $J=15.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dd,  $J=15.7$ , 8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.24– 4.18 (m, 2H), 3.29–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.28 (d,  $J=13.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.12 (d, J=13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d: 206.1, 171.1, 137.1, 136.8, 131.7, 130.7, 130.1, 128.1, 127.4, 126.7, 126.2, 68.6, 60.9, 42.1, 40.7, 31.2, 16.7, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 350, EI-HRMS m/z: 350.1880 (calcd for  $C_{23}H_{26}O_3$ : 350.1882). Colorless oil.  $R_f=0.55$  (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.16.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-acetyl-2 benzyl-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate: (2R\*,3R\*)-5d}. <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.34–7.21 (m, 5H), 6.39  $(d, J=15.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.17 (dd, J=15.7, 8.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.24-$ 4.18 (m, 2H), 3.29–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.28 (d,  $J=13.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.12 (d, J=13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d: 197.4, 173.0, 137.7, 136.1, 131.2, 130.7, 130.1, 128.5 (2C), 128.0, 126.9, 126.3, 61.1, 60.9, 41.3, 17.4, 15.7, 13.9.  $R_f$ =0.55 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

#### 4.2.17. Ethyl 2-benzyl-2,3-dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate  $(5e).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.17.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-benzyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -5e}.  $\rm ^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.84–7.18 (m, 10H), 6.46 (d,  $J=15.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.27 (dd,  $J=15.7$ , 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.18–4.00 (m, 2H), 3.52–3.45 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.12 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.05 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 197.4, 173.0, 137.4, 136.5, 131.0, 130.9, 130.5, 128.4 (2C), 128.3, 127.2, 126.2, 61.3, 61.2, 41.4, 17.4, 15.8, 13.8. EIMS m/z: 336, EI-HRMS m/z: 336.1720 (calcd for  $C_{22}H_{24}O_3$ : 336.1725). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.60 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.17.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-benzyl-2,3 dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -5e}.  $\rm ^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.84–7.18 (m, 10H), 6.46  $(J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 6.10 (dd,  $J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$ ), 4.18–4.00 (m, 2H), 3.43–3.38 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.22 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H), 1.09 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d: 197.5, 172.9, 137.5, 136.3, 131.1, 130.8, 130.3, 128.3 (2C), 128.1, 127.1, 126.3, 61.0, 60.9, 41.4, 17.5, 15.9, 13.6.  $R_f$ =0.60 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.18. Ethyl 2-oxo-1-(1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl) cyclopentanecarboxylate  $(5f).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.18.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-oxo-1- (1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl)cyclopentanecarboxylate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -5f). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.33–7.19  $(m, 5H), 6.41 (d, J=16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (dd, J=16.1,$ 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.23–4.17 (m, 2H), 3.28 (dq,  $J=7.8$ , 7.0 Hz, 1H),  $2.58-1.87$  (m, 6H),  $1.27$  (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 214.0,

169.8, 137.0, 131.9 129.9, 128.5, 127.4, 126.2, 65.2, 61.5, 40.7, 38.8, 28.3, 19.8, 15.7, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 286, EI-HRMS m/z: 286.1576 (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{22}O_3$ : 286.1569). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.51 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.18.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-oxo-1- (1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl)cyclopentanecarboxylate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -5f}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.33–7.19  $(m, 5H), 6.43$  (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (dd, J=15.6, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.23–4.17 (m, 2H), 3.28 (dq,  $J=7.8$ , 7.0 Hz, 1H),  $2.58-1.87$  (m, 6H),  $1.24$  (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 213.9, 170.0, 137.1, 131.2, 130.5, 129.4, 127.5, 126.1, 65.1, 61.6, 41.1, 39.1, 28.5, 19.6, 15.6, 14.1.  $R_f$ =0.51 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

#### 4.2.19. Ethyl 2-oxo-1-(1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl) cyclohexanecarboxylate  $(5g).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.19.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-oxo-1- (1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl)cyclohexanecarboxylate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -5g). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.36–7.17  $(m, 5H), 6.35 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (dd, J=15.7,$ 8.4 Hz, 1H),  $4.24-4.20$  (m, 2H), 2.98 (dq,  $J=8.4$ , 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.55–1.48 (m, 8H), 1.27 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 207.3, 171.3, 137.3, 131.6 130.7, 128.4, 127.1, 126.1, 64.1, 61.1, 41.7, 41.1, 34.6, 27.3, 22.7, 16.4, 14.2. EIMS m/z: 300, EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 300.1719 (calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 300.1725). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.55 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.19.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-oxo-1- (1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl)cyclohexanecarboxylate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -5g). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.36–7.17  $(m, 5H), 6.35$  (d,  $J=15.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.20 (dd,  $J=15.7$ , 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.24–4.20 (m, 2H), 2.98 (dq, J=8.4, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.55– 1.48 (m, 8H), 1.21 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 207.2, 170.0, 137.2, 131.5, 130.8, 128.5, 127.0, 126.3, 64.4, 61.2, 41.1, 32.4, 26.9, 22.5, 16.8, 14.4.  $R_f$ =0.55 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.20. Methyl 2-oxo-1-(1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl) cycloheptanecarboxylate  $(5h).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.20.1. Major isomer {methyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-oxo-1-(1 methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl)cycloheptanecarboxylate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -5h}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.36–7.19  $(m, 5H), 6.39 (d, J=16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dd, J=16.1,$ 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.05 (dq,  $J=8.8$ , 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.21–1.46 (m, 10H), 1.09 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 209.0, 172.4, 137.4, 131.4 131.0, 128.5, 127.2, 126.2, 66.3, 52.0, 42.8 (2C), 31.7, 30.0, 25.7, 25.5, 16.8. EIMS m/z: 300, EI-HRMS m/z: 300.1730 (calcd for  $C_{19}H_{24}O_3$ : 300.1725). Colorless oil.  $R_f=0.58$  (10%) EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.20.2. Minor isomer {methyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-oxo-1- (1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl)cycloheptanecarboxylate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -5h). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.36–7.19  $(m, 5H), 6.39 (d, J=16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dd, J=16.1,$ 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.05 (dq,  $J=8.8$ , 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.21–1.46 (m, 10H), 1.13 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 209.0, 172.5, 137.5, 131.4, 131.1, 128.6, 127.0, 126.3, 66.3, 52.2, 42.9, 43.1, 31.4, 30.1, 25.8, 25.5, 16.8.  $R_f$ =0.58 (10% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.21. Ethyl 2-cyano-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate  $(8a).<sup>11</sup>$ 

4.2.21.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-cyano-3 methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate: (2S\*,3R\*)-8a}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.41–7.22 (m, 5H), 6.52 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.13 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.56 (d,  $J=5.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.13 (m, 1H), 1.32 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 165.3, 136.3, 132.8, 129.2 128.6, 127.9, 126.4, 115.3, 62.7, 44.4, 38.6, 17.6, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 243, EI-HRMS  $m/z$ : 243.1261 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: 243.1259). Colorless oil.  $R_f=0.83$  (20% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.21.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-cyano-3 methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate: (2R\*,3R\*)-8a}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$ : 7.41–7.22 (m, 5H), 6.54 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (q,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.57, (d,  $J=5.1$  Hz, 1H), 3.13 (m, 1H), 1.35 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.30 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 165.4, 136.3, 132.1, 129.2, 128.6, 127.9, 126.5, 115.3, 62.8, 44.8, 38.5, 18.9, 14.1.  $R_f$ =0.83 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.22. Ethyl 2-cyano-2,3-dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate  $(8b).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.22.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2-cyano-2,3 dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -8b}.  $\rm ^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.40–7.22 (m, 5H), 6.46 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.14 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.24– 4.13 (m, 2H), 2.87–2.76(m, 1H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.30 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 168.9, 136.3, 132.7, 128.5 128.4, 127.8, 126.4, 119.0, 62.5, 49.0, 44.6, 21.1, 15.7, 14.0. EIMS m/z: 257, EI-HRMS m/z: 257.1420 (calcd for  $C_{16}H_{19}NO_2$ : 257.1416). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.20 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.22.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-2-cyano-2,3 dimethyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -8b}.  $\rm ^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.40–7.22 (m, 5H), 6.53 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.09 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.34–4.24 (m, 2H), 2.87–2.76. (m, 1H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.35 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 1.26 (d,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$ : 168.8, 136.2, 132.9, 128.6, 127.9, 127.6, 126.4, 118.8, 62.6, 48.7, 44.5, 22.1, 17.4, 14.1.  $R_f$ =0.20 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

# 4.2.23. Ethyl 3-methyl-2,5-diphenyl-4-pentenoate (8c).<sup>11</sup>

4.2.23.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-3-methyl-2,5 diphenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -8c}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$ : 7.27–7.00 (m, 10H), 6.50 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.09–3.97 (m, 2H), 3.40 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.13–3.00 (m, 1H), 1.12 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 0.88 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 173.2, 137.4, 133.0, 130.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.4, 127.2, 126.2, 126.0, 65.9, 60.6, 58.6, 41.1, 18.3, 15.3, 14.2. EIMS m/z: 294, EI-HRMS m/z: 294.1612 (calcd for  $C_{20}H_{22}O_2$ : 294.1620). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.61 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.23.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-3-methyl-2,5-diphenyl-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -8c}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.27–7.00 (m, 10H), 6.15 (d,  $J=15.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.86 (dd,  $J=15.6$ , 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.21–4.14  $(m, 2H)$ , 4.06 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.13–3.00 (m, 1H), 1.24 (t,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H), 1.23 (d,  $J=6.3$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d: 173.2, 137.4, 133.0, 130.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.4, 127.2, 126.2, 126.0, 65.9, 60.6, 58.6, 41.1, 18.3, 15.3, 14.2.  $R_f$ =0.61 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

#### 4.2.24. Ethyl 3-methyl-5-phenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)-4-pentenoate  $(8e).<sup>11</sup>$

4.2.24.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-3-methyl-5 phenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -8e}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.61–8.52 (m, 1H), 7.70–7.08  $(m, 8H), 6.49 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (dd, J=15.6,$ 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.09–4.00 (m, 2H), 3.75 (d,  $J=10.7$  Hz, 1H),  $3.31-3.17$  (m, 1H),  $1.25$  (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H),  $1.13$  (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 172.2, 157.2, 149.2, 137.3, 136.4, 132.7, 130.3, 128.3, 127.0, 126.0, 123.1, 122.2, 60.9, 60.6, 40.6, 18.3, 14.2. EIMS m/z: 295, EI-HRMS m/z: 295.1579 (calcd for  $C_{19}H_{21}NO_2$ : 295.1572). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.13 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.24.2. Minor isomer {ethyl (2R\*,3R\*)-3-methyl-5 phenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -8e}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.61–8.52 (m, 1H), 7.70–7.08  $(m, 8H), 6.20 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J=15.6,$ 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.26-4.10 (m, 2H), 3.78 (d, J=9.7 Hz, 1H),  $3.31-3.17$  (m, 1H), 1.24 (t,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H), 0.94 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 172.3, 157.4, 149.4, 137.3, 136.6, 132.4, 130.2, 128.4, 127.2, 126.2, 123.1, 122.3, 60.7, 60.4, 40.2, 19.2, 14.2.  $R_f$ =0.13 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.25. Ethyl 2,3-dimethyl-5-phenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)-4 pentenoate (8f).<sup>11</sup>

4.2.25.1. Major isomer {ethyl (2S\*,3R\*)-2,3-dimethyl-5-phenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -8f}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.62–8.60 (m, 1H), 7.67–7.58  $(m, 1H), 7.45-7.08$   $(m, 7H), 6.45$   $(d, J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.27$  $(dd, J=15.7, 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.12 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 3.45 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 1.62$ (s, 3H), 1.16 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 174.6, 161.3, 148.7, 137.6, 136.1, 132.2, 130.8, 128.4, 127.0, 126.1, 121.6 (2C), 60.8, 43.1, 17.5, 16.5, 15.3, 14.1. EIMS m/z: 309, EI-HRMS m/z: 309.1724 (calcd for  $C_{20}H_{23}NO_2$ : 309.1729). Colorless oil.  $R_f$ =0.20 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

4.2.25.2. Minor isomer {ethyl  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -2,3-dimethyl-5-phenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)-4-pentenoate:  $(2R^*, 3R^*)$ -8f}. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.58–8.57 (m, 1H), 7.67–7.58  $(m, 1H), 7.45-7.08$   $(m, 7H), 6.23$   $(d, J=15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 5.96$  $(dd, J=15.7, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.42 (m,$ 1H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 174.5, 161.8, 148.6, 137.6, 136.0, 131.5, 130.9, 128.3, 126.9, 126.0, 121.7 (2C), 60.8, 43.7, 18.2, 16.5, 15.3, 14.1.  $R_f$ =0.20 (20% EtOAc in hexane).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. We thank Prof. <span id="page-9-0"></span>Toshiyuki Itoh (Tottori University) for his kind support. M.K. acknowledges the TORAYAward in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Japan.

#### References and notes

- 1. Tsuji, J. Transition Metal Reagents and Catalysts; John Wiley & Sons: West Sussex, 2000.
- 2. (a) Tsuji, J. Palladium Reagents and Catalysts; John Wiley & Sons: West Sussex, 2004; (b) Helmchen, G.; Pfaltz, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 336–345; (c) Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 395–422; (d) Pfaltz, A.; Lautens, M. Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis; Jacobsen, E. M., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1999; Vol. II, pp 833–884; (e) Hayashi, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 576, 195–202; (f) Johnson, M.; Jorgensen, K. A. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1689–1708.
- 3. (a) Ma, J.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4290–4299; (b) Christoffers, J.; Mann, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4591–4597; (c) Corey, E. J.; Perez, A.-G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 389–401; (d) Fuji, K. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2037–2066.
- 4. (a) Lindner, T.; Kazmaier, U. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 1687–1695; (b) Nemoto, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Masuda, T.; Hitomi, T.; Hatano, K.; Hamada, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3690–3691; (c) Kanayama, T.; Yoshida, K.; Miyabe, H.; Kimachi, T.; Takemoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 6197– 6201; (d) Kuwano, R.; Uchida, K.; Ito, Y. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2177–2179; (e) Trost, B. M.; Schroeder, G. M.; Kristensen, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2002, 41, 3492–3495; (f) Kazmaier, U.; Zumpe, F. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 802–804;

(g) Kuwano, R.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 3236– 3237; (h) Trost, B. M.; Schroeder, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6759–6760; (i) Kuwano, R.; Nishio, R.; Ito, Y. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 837–839; (j) Trost, B. M.; Radinov, R.; Grenzer, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7879–7880; (k) Sawamura, M.; Sudoh, M.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 3309–3310.

- 5. (a) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4547–4554; (b) Hayashi, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Hagihara, T. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3236–3237.
- 6. Uenishi, M.; Kawatsura, M.; Ikeda, D.; Muraoka, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 3909–3912.
- 7. (a) Ettlinger, L.; Gäumann, E.; Hütter, R.; Schierlein, W. K.; Kradolfer, F.; Neipp, L.; Prelog, V.; Zähner, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1958, 41, 216–219; (b) Uhr, H.; Zeeck, A.; Clegg, W.; Egert, E.; Fuhrer, H.; Peter, H. H. J. Antibiot. 1985, 38, 1684–1690.
- 8. (a) Tadano, K.; Ishihara, J.; Ogawa, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2609–2612; (b) Uenishi, J.; Okadai, T.; Wakabayashi, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3381–3384; (c) Ziegler, F. E.; Kim, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7669–7672; (d) Sprules, T. J.; Lavallée, J.-F. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5041-5047; (e) Kinderman, S. S.; Feringa, B. L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1215–1222.
- 9. (a) Burgess, K.; Jennings, L. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6129–6139; (b) Uenishi, J.; Hirooka, T.; Hata, K.; Nishiwaki, K.; Yonemitsu, O.; Nakamura, K.; Tsukube, H. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2481–2487.
- 10. Trost, B. M.; Bunt, R. C.; Lemoine, R. C.; Calkins, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 5968–5976.
- 11. The exact relative stereochemistry of 5b–e and 8a–f had not been determined, and it was estimated by comparison with 3a–k.